

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/092,537	03/08/2002	Anton J. Steiner	X-9360	8866
7:	590 12/17/2003	EXAMINER		
John S. Hale	(.1.	PHAN, HIEU		
c/o Gipple & H 6665-A Old De		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
McLean, VA 22101			3738	7.
		DATE MAILED: 12/17/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	_ 5					7		
a			Application No.		Applicant(s)			
			10/092,537		STEINER ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	-	Examiner		Art Unit			
			Hieu Phan		3738			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this common or Reply	unication appe	ars on the cover s	sheet with the co	orrespondence ad	Idress		
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMU nsions of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this coil period for reply specified above is less than thirty period for reply is specified above, the maximum interest or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three monthed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NICATION. ons of 37 CFR 1.136 mmunication. (30) days, a reply w statutory period will ply will, by statute, ci s after the mailing di	(a). In no event, however, it is the statutory minimal apply and will expire SI ause the application to be	er, may a reply be time num of thirty (30) days X (6) MONTHS from t become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered time he mailing date of this c			
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) f	iled on <u>03 Nov</u>	vember 2003.					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This ac	ction is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4) 🖾	Claim(s) 1-75 is/are pending in the	e application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 3,10,13,22-42,48,54,57,59-63 and 66-75 is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,2,4-9,11,12,14-21,43-47,49-53,55,56,58,64 and 65 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by	the Examiner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/ai	re: a)⊟ accep	oted or b)□ obje	cted to by the E	xaminer.			
	Applicant may not request that any ob-	jection to the dr	awing(s) be held in	n abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) includi	•	•	=				
	The oath or declaration is objected	to by the Exa	miner. Note the a	attached Office	Action or form P	ΓO-152.		
-	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.								
Attachmen			_			•		
2) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449		5) 🔲 N	lotice of Informal Pa	(PTO-413) Paper No atent Application (PT			
S Patent and 1	Frademark Office	<u></u> '						

Application/Control Number: 10/092,537

Art Unit: 3738

Response to Election/Restriction

1. Applicant's election of Specie 4, figures 5 and 16-18, claims 1, 2, 4-9, 11-21, 43-47, 49-53, 55, 56, 58, 64 and 65, in Paper No. 6 is acknowledged. Examiner further acknowledges claims 1 and 47 are generic claims. But examiner disagrees with Applicant's election of figures 5 and claim 13. Figures 5-8 clearly illustrate the bone block has a cylindrical shape while figures 16-18 show the bone block has an oval shape. To further prosecution, examiner has elected claims 1, 2, 4-9, 11, 12, 14-21, 43-47, 49-53, 55, 56, 58, 64 and 65, which read on Specie 4. Claims 3, 10, 13, 22-42, 48, 54, 57, 59-63 and 66-75 have been withdrawn from consideration.

Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Application/Control Number: 10/092,537

Art Unit: 3738

3. Claims 1, 2, 4-9, 11, 12, 14-21, 43-47, 49-53, 55, 56, 58, 64 and 65 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 13, 14-24 and 36-45 of copending Application No. 10/277,838. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because all the claims in both applications call for a sterile composite graft having a first and second bone blocks, each bone block defining a central going bore, at least one longitudinal channel substantially parallel to the axis of the central through going bore in the exterior surface of the bone block and ligament replacement extending through the central bore of the bone blocks and around the first and second bone blocks seated in the longitudinal channel.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 47, 49-52, 55, 56, and 58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kohrs et al. (U.S. Patent 5,609,636).

Kohrs et al. disclose an anchor (100) having a body (20), a central through going bore (40), parallel longitudinal channel (28) as is claimed (figures 2, 3, 7, 15 and 17-22, column 5 lines 12-37).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 6. examiner should be directed to Hieu Phan whose telephone number is 703-308-8969. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine M McDermott can be reached on 703-308-2111. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-305-3590.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0873.

Primary Examiner

Hieu Phan Examiner

Art Unit 3738